arXiv:1109.1332v1 [math.AP] 7 Sep 2011

FORMATION OF SINGULARITY FOR COMPRESSIBLE VISCOELASTICITY

XIANPENG HU AND DEHUA WANG

Abstract. The formation of singularity and breakdown of classical solutions to the three-dimensional compressible viscoelasticity and inviscid elasticity are considered. For the compressible inviscid elastic fluids, the finite-time formation of singularity in classical solutions is proved for certain initial data. For the compressible viscoelastic fluids, a criterion in term of the temporal integral of the velocity gradient is obtained for the breakdown of smooth solutions.

1. Introduction

We are concerned with the formation of singularities in smooth solutions to the multi-dimensional partial differential equations of viscoelasticity, especially, of the viscoelastic fluids. Viscoelastic fluids exhibit a combination of both fluid and solid characteristics, and keep memory of their past deformations due to their "elastic" nature. Viscoelastic fluids have a wide range of applications and hence have received a great deal of interests. Examples and applications of viscoelastic fluids include from oil, liquid polymers, to bioactive fluids, and viscoelastic blood flow past valves; see [14] for more applications. For the viscoelastic materials, the competition between the kinetic energy and the internal elastic energy through the special transport properties of their respective internal elastic variables makes the materials more intractable in understanding their behavior, since any distortion of microstructures, patterns or configurations in the dynamical flow will involve the deformation tensor. For classical simple fluids, the internal energy can be determined solely by the determinant of the deformation tensor; however, the internal energy of complex fluids carries all the information of the deformation tensor. The interaction between the microscopic elastic properties and the macroscopic fluid motions leads to the rich and complicated rheological phenomena in viscoelastic fluids, and also causes formidable analytic and numerical challenges in mathematical analysis. For the viscoelastic materials with significant viscosities, the equations of the compressible viscoelastic fluids of Oldroyd type ([26, 27]) in three spatial dimensions take the following form ([5, 8, 15]):

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \mathrm{div}(\rho\mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ (\rho\mathbf{u})_t + \mathrm{div}(\rho\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}) + \nabla P = \mu\Delta\mathbf{u} + (\mu+\lambda)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u} + \mathrm{div}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top), \\ \mathtt{F}_t + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathtt{F} = \nabla\mathbf{u}\,\mathtt{F}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A05, 76A10, 76D03, 76P05, 82B40, 82C40.

Key words and phrases. Compressible viscoelastic fluid, inviscid elasticity, local classical solution, formation of singularity, blowup, breakdown.

where ρ stands for the density, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the velocity, and $\mathtt{F} \in M^{3\times 3}$ (the set of 3×3 matrices) the deformation gradient, and

$$P = A\rho^\gamma, \quad A > 0,\ \gamma > 1,$$

is the pressure. The viscosity coefficients μ, λ are two constants satisfying

$$\mu \ge 0, \quad 3\lambda + 2\mu \ge 0, \tag{1.2}$$

which ensure that the operator $-\mu\Delta\mathbf{u} - (\lambda+\mu)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}$ is a strongly elliptic operator. The notation $\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathtt{F}$ is understood to be $(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathtt{F}$ and $\mathtt{F}^\top$ means the transpose matrix of $\mathtt{F}$. As usual, we call the first equation in (1.1) the continuity equation. For system (1.1), the corresponding elastic energy is chosen to be the special form of the Hookean linear elasticity:

$$W(\mathtt{F}) = \frac{1}{2}|\mathtt{F}|^2,$$

which, however, does not reduce the essential difficulties for analysis. The methods and results of this paper can be applied to more general cases. In the physical regime of negligible viscosities, (1.1) becomes the system of inviscid compressible elasticity:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \mathrm{div}(\rho\mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ (\rho\mathbf{u})_t + \mathrm{div}(\rho\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}) + \nabla P = \mathrm{div}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top), \\ \mathtt{F}_t + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathtt{F} = \nabla\mathbf{u}\,\mathtt{F}. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

We refer the readers to [5, 8, 15, 23, 29] for more discussions and physical background on viscoelasticity. This paper is devoted to the study of formation of singularities for both the inviscid elastic flow (1.3) and the viscoelastic flow (1.1).

Without the deformation gradient $\mathtt{F}$, the system (1.1) becomes the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. There is a huge literature about solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations; see Danchin [6], Feireisl [7], Lions [21], and references therein. In particular, the global weak solutions were constructed in [7, 21] for $\gamma > \frac{3}{2}$, while in [6] the global existence of strong solution was proved in the critical spaces when the solution is a small perturbation of the equilibrium.

When the deformation gradient $\mathtt{F}$ does appear, the system (1.1) is much more complicated than the Navier-Stokes equations, although the equation satisfied by the deformation gradient $\mathtt{F}$ is a transport equation and is similar to the continuity equation. Fortunately, (1.1) inhibits a list of local conservation laws which make the analysis for the global existence of strong solutions available. The local strong solutions to the compressible viscoelastic flow (1.1) with large data were obtained in Hu-Wang [9, 11], and the global strong solutions to the compressible viscoelastic flow (1.1) with small data in the Besov spaces were established in Hu-Wang [10, 12] and Qiang-Zhang [25]. We remark that for these local and global existence of strong solutions, the local conservation laws are crucial for the dissipation of the deformation gradient, and the property that the curl of the deformation gradient is of higher order (Lemma 2.1 in [9]) is also very helpful. For large initial data, the global existence of strong or weak solutions for (1.1) is still an outstanding open problem.

Regarding the global existence of weak solutions to (1.1) with large data, among all of difficulties, the rapid oscillation of the density and the non-compatibility between the quadratic form and the weak convergence are of the main issues; namely, the local conservation laws are not enough for the convergence of the nonlinear term, especially for the term related to the deformation gradient although those terms sit well in the framework of div-curl structure, and the higher integrability of the density or the deformation gradient is not available up to now.

For a strong solution to (1.1) with large data, although the local existence was proved in [9], we expect that it will break down in a finite time as for the strong solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations ([1, 28, 13]). The breakdown of smooth solutions is due to the lack of control of the hydrodynamic variables, for instance, the L^∞ norm of the gradient of the velocity or the L^∞ norm of the density. As it is well-known, the L^∞ norm of the gradient of the velocity controls the L^∞ norm of the density and the deformation gradient in the compressible viscoelastic fluids (1.1). In this paper, we will provide a criterion and justify the blowup phenomena. Comparing with the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, the viscoelastic flow (1.1) is more complicated and thus more delicate and new estimates are needed for the analysis of strong solutions. More precisely, the main difficulty lies in the estimates of the gradients of the density and the deformation gradient, and the estimates on the $L^\infty_t H^1_x$ bounds of $\nabla\rho$ and $\nabla\mathtt{F}$ are crucial. We find a criterion for breakdown of strong solutions of (1.1) in term of the temporal integral of the L^∞ norm of the velocity gradient.

For the inviscid flow (1.3), similar to the compressible Euler equations (Sideris [31]), we expect that the smooth solution to the system (1.3) will develop singularities in a finite time. We will first reformulate the system (1.3) into a symmetric hyperbolic system so that a local smooth solution can be obtained from [16, 24]. Then we will prove that the smooth solution cannot exist globally in time under some restrictions on the initial data; that is, the finite-time formation of singularities is essentially inevitable provided that the initial velocity in some region near the origin is supersonic relative to the sound speed at infinity. The proof will follow the idea of Sideris [31] with more subtle estimates on the deformation gradients.

For the incompressible viscoelastic flows and related models, there are many papers in literature on classical solutions (cf. [2, 3, 17, 18, 20] and the references therein). On the other hand, the global existence of weak solutions to the incompressible viscoelastic flows with large initial data is also an outstanding open question, although there are some progress in that direction ([19, 22, 23]). For the inviscid elastodynamics, see [32] and their references on the global existence of classical solutions.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will explain the mechanism which will ensure a local existence of smooth solution to the inviscid compressible elastic fluid and also provide a proof of the finite-time formation of singularities. In Section 3, we will consider the compressible viscoelastic fluids and prove a blowup criterion in term of the the L^∞ norm of the gradient of the velocity.

2. The Inviscid Case

In this section, we consider the formation of singularities in smooth solutions of the inviscid flow (1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with sufficiently smooth initial data:

$$(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathtt{F})|_{t=0} = (\rho_0(x), \mathbf{u}_0(x), \mathtt{F}_0(x)), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{2.1}$$

We assume that

$$\rho_0(x) > 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{2.2}$$

there exist positive constants $\bar{\rho}_0$ and $R > 0$ such that

$$(\rho_0(x), \mathbf{u}_0(x), \mathtt{F}_0(x)) = (\bar{\rho}_0, 0, I) \quad \text{for all} \quad |x| \geq R, \tag{2.3}$$

where I is the 3×3 identity matrix, and

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho_0 \mathtt{F}_0^\top) = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

One useful property of the deformation gradient $\mathtt{F}$ is the following (see Lemma 6.1 in [10]):

Lemma 2.1. *If* $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathtt{F})$ *is a smooth solution of* (1.3)*, and* $\rho, \mathtt{F}$ *initially satisfy* (2.4)*, then the following identity holds for any time:*

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho \mathtt{F}^\top) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Under the assumption (2.4) and using Lemma 2.1, we can rewrite the system (1.3) for smooth solutions $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathtt{F})$ with $\rho > 0$ as:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\rho c^2} \dfrac{d\hat{P}}{dt} + \operatorname{div}\mathbf{u} = 0, \\ \dfrac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} + \nabla \hat{P} = \mathtt{F}_{jk} \nabla_{x_j} \mathtt{F}_{ik} := \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{3} (\mathtt{F}_k \cdot \nabla \mathtt{F}_k), \\ \dfrac{d\mathtt{F}_i}{dt} = \nabla \mathbf{u}\, \mathtt{F}, \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla, \quad c^2 = A\gamma(\gamma - 1)\rho^{\gamma-2}, \quad \hat{P} = \frac{A\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \rho^{\gamma - 1},$$

and $\mathtt{F}_i$ is the i-th column of the matrix $\mathtt{F}$. Set

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P} \\ \mathbf{u} \\ \mathtt{F}_1 \\ \mathtt{F}_2 \\ \mathtt{F}_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\rho c^2} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{12} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$A_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{u}_i}{\rho c^2} & e_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_i^\top & \rho \mathbf{u}_i I & -\mathtt{F}_{i1} I & -\mathtt{F}_{i2} I & -\mathtt{F}_{i3} I \\ 0 & -\mathtt{F}_{i1} I & \mathbf{u}_i I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathtt{F}_{i2} I & 0 & \mathbf{u}_i I & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathtt{F}_{i3} I & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{u}_i I \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$, I_{12} is the 12×12 identity matrix, $e_i^\top$ is the transpose of e_i, and I is again the 3×3 identity matrix. Then, in view of (2.6), the system (1.3) can be written as a symmetric hyperbolic system of the form

$$A_0 V_t + \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i V_{x_i} = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

with $A_0(V) > 0$ and $A_i(V)$ is a 13×13 symmetric matrix for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. According to the well-known result in [16, 24], the hyperbolic system of conservation laws (2.7) admits a local C^1 solution on some time interval $[0, T)$, provided the initial data are sufficiently regular; moreover, $\rho > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T)$.

Since $\rho_0(x) = \bar\rho_0$, $\mathbf{u}_0(x) = 0$, and $\mathtt{F}_0(x) = I$ for all $|x| \geq R$, then σ, the sound speed at infinity, is given by

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho}(\bar\rho_0)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(A\gamma\bar\rho_0^{\gamma-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of local energy estimates (cf. [30]). It simply states that the maximum speed of propagation of the front of a smooth disturbances is governed by σ.

Proposition 2.1. *If* $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathtt{F}) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T))$ *is a solution of* (1.3) *and* (2.1), *then*

$$(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathtt{F}) = (\bar\rho_0, 0, I) \text{ for all } |x| \geq \sigma t + R \text{ and } 0 \leq t < T.$$

As for the compressible Euler equations (Sideris [31]), we expect that the smooth solution to the system (1.3) will develop singularities in a finite time. The result in the following theorem shows that the C^1 solution to (1.3) and (2.1) does not exist globally in time under some restrictions on the initial data; more precisely, it states that the finite-time formation of singularities in the three-dimensional inviscid compressible elastic fluid is essentially inevitable provided that the initial flow velocity, in some region near the origin, is supersonic relative to the sound speed at infinity.

In order to state our result, we define

$$m(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\rho(x,t) - \bar\rho_0)dx,$$

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(x,t)x\cdot\mathbf{u}(x,t)dx,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho|\mathtt{F} - I|^2 + \frac{P - P_0}{\gamma - 1}\right)dx,$$

with

$$P_0 = P(\bar\rho_0) = A\bar\rho_0^{\gamma},$$

and

$$D(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| \leq \sigma t + R\}.$$

Remark 2.1. Proposition 2.1 implies that the integrands of $m(t)$, $\mathcal{F}(t)$, and $\mathcal{E}(t)$ are identically equal to zero outside $D(t)$.

For two 3×3 matrices A and B, the following notations will be used:

$$A : B = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} A_{ij}B_{ij}, \quad |A|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} A_{ij}^2.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathtt{F})$ *be a* C^1 *solution of* (1.3) *with initial data* (2.1)-(2.4). *Then* $\mathcal{E}(t)$ *is conserved; that is,*

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) = 0, \qquad \mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}(0), \tag{2.8}$$

for all $t > 0$.

Proof. Multiplying the second equation in (1.3) by $\mathbf{u}$ and using the first equation in (1.3) yield

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho|\mathbf{u}|^2+\frac{P-P_0}{\gamma-1}\right)dx=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top:\nabla\mathbf{u}dx. \tag{2.9}$$

On the other hand, from the third and then the first equations of (1.3), one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho|\mathtt{F}|^2\right)&=\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}|\mathtt{F}|^2+2\rho\mathtt{F}:\frac{\partial\mathtt{F}}{\partial t}\\&=\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}|\mathtt{F}|^2+2\rho\mathtt{F}:(\nabla\mathbf{u}\,\mathtt{F}-\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathtt{F})\\&=\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}|\mathtt{F}|^2+2\rho\mathtt{F}:(\nabla\mathbf{u}\,\mathtt{F})-\rho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla|\mathtt{F}|^2\\&=\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}|\mathtt{F}|^2+2\rho\mathtt{F}:(\nabla\mathbf{u}\,\mathtt{F})+\operatorname{div}(\rho\mathbf{u})|\mathtt{F}|^2-\operatorname{div}(\rho\mathbf{u}|\mathtt{F}|^2)\\&=2\rho\mathtt{F}:(\nabla\mathbf{u}\,\mathtt{F})-\operatorname{div}(\rho\mathbf{u}|\mathtt{F}|^2).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\rho|\mathtt{F}-I|^2&=\rho\sum_{i\neq j}\mathtt{F}_{ij}^2+\rho\sum_{i=1}^3(\mathtt{F}_{ii}-1)^2\\&=\rho\sum_{i,j}\mathtt{F}_{ij}^2-2\rho\sum_{i=1}^3\mathtt{F}_{ii}+3\rho\\&=\rho|\mathtt{F}|^2-2\rho\operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F}-I)-3\rho,\end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F}-I)$ denotes the trace of the matrix $\mathtt{F}-I$, then

$$\rho|\mathtt{F}|^2=\rho|\mathtt{F}-I|^2+2\rho\operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F}-I)+3(\rho-\bar\rho_0)+3\bar\rho_0,$$

thus

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho|\mathtt{F}-I|^2+2\rho\operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F}-I)+3(\rho-\bar\rho_0)\right)=2\rho\mathtt{F}:(\nabla\mathbf{u}\,\mathtt{F})-\operatorname{div}(\rho\mathbf{u}|\mathtt{F}|^2).$$

Integrating the above equality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\rho|\mathtt{F}-I|^2+2\rho\operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F}-I)+3(\rho-\bar\rho_0)\right)dx\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathtt{F}:(\nabla\mathbf{u}\,\mathtt{F})dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top:\nabla\mathbf{u}dx.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Adding (2.9) and (2.10) together, one has

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho|\mathbf{u}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\rho|\mathtt{F}-I|^2+\rho\operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F}-I)+\frac{3}{2}(\rho-\bar\rho_0)+\frac{P-P_0}{\gamma-1}\right)dx=0. \tag{2.11}$$

We note from the first equation of (1.3) that

$$m'(t)=\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho-\bar\rho_0)\,dx=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\operatorname{div}(\rho\mathbf{u})dx=0, \tag{2.12}$$

thus,

$$m(t)=m(0). \tag{2.13}$$

Due to Lemma 2.1, we have, using integration by parts,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathtt{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx = 0.$$

From the first and third equations in (1.3), it is easy to deduce that

$$\partial_t(\rho \operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F} - I)) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F} - I)\, \mathbf{u}) = \rho \mathtt{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u}.$$

Integrating the above equality yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F} - I)\, dx = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

and then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F} - I)\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 \operatorname{tr}(\mathtt{F}_0 - I)\, dx. \tag{2.15}$$

Substituting (2.12) and (2.14) into (2.11), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho|\mathtt{F} - I|^2 + \frac{P - P_0}{\gamma - 1} \right) dx = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Therefore, (2.8) follows from (2.16). The proof is complete. □

Now we state and prove the finite-time formation of singularity for (1.3).

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathtt{F}) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T))$ *be a solution of* (1.3) *with initial data* (2.1)-(2.4)*. If*

$$m(0) \geq 0, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(0) > \frac{16\pi}{3} \sigma R^4 \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}, \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 \operatorname{tr}(I - \mathtt{F}_0) dx \geq 2\mathcal{E}(0), \tag{2.19}$$

then T is necessary finite.

Proof. Let $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathtt{F})$ be a C^1 solution of (1.3) and (2.1). Then, $\mathcal{F} \in C^1[0, T)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} x \cdot (\rho_t \mathbf{u} + \rho \mathbf{u}_t) dx.$$

It follows from the Proposition 2.1, the first two equations in (1.3), and integration by parts, that

$$\mathcal{F}'(t) = \int_{D(t)} \rho|\mathbf{u}|^2 dx + 3\int_{D(t)} (P - P_0) dx + \int_{D(t)} x \cdot \operatorname{div}\left(\rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top\right) dx. \tag{2.20}$$

Using the Hölder inequality, (2.13) and (2.17), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{D(t)} P dx &= A \int_{D(t)} \rho^{\gamma} dx\\ &\geq A(\mathrm{vol} D(t))^{1-\gamma}\left(\int_{D(t)} \rho dx\right)^{\gamma}\\ &= A(\mathrm{vol} D(t))^{1-\gamma}\left(m(0)+\mathrm{vol} D(t)\bar{\rho}_0\right)^{\gamma}\\ &\geq \mathrm{vol} D(t) A\bar{\rho}_0^{\gamma} = \int_{D(t)} P_0 dx,\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\int_{D(t)} (P-P_0) dx \geq 0. \tag{2.21}$$

Note that Lemma 2.1 implies

$$\mathrm{div}(\rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^{\top}) = \mathrm{div}(\rho(\mathtt{F}-I)(\mathtt{F}-I)^{\top}) + \mathrm{div}(\rho(\mathtt{F}-I)).$$

Then the divergence theorem yields, using Lemma 2.2, (2.15), (2.19) and (2.21),

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{D(t)} x\cdot \mathrm{div}(\rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^{\top}) dx\\ &= \int_{D(t)} x\cdot \mathrm{div}(\rho(\mathtt{F}-I)(\mathtt{F}-I)^{\top}) dx + \int_{D(t)} x\cdot \mathrm{div}(\rho(\mathtt{F}-I)) dx\\ &= -\int_{D(t)} I:\rho(\mathtt{F}-I)(\mathtt{F}-I)^{\top} dx - \int_{D(t)} I:\rho(\mathtt{F}-I) dx\\ &= -\int_{D(t)} \rho|\mathtt{F}-I|^2 dx - \int_{D(t)} \rho\,\mathrm{tr}(\mathtt{F}-I) dx\\ &\geq -2\mathcal{E}(t) - \int_{D(t)} \rho\,\mathrm{tr}(\mathtt{F}-I) dx\\ &= -2\mathcal{E}(0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0\,\mathrm{tr}(I-\mathtt{F}_0) dx \geq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Therefore, (2.20)-(2.22) yield

$$\mathcal{F}'(t) \geq \int_{D(t)} \rho|\mathbf{u}|^2 dx. \tag{2.23}$$

On the other hand, (2.23) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}'(t) &\geq \mathcal{F}(t)^2\left(\int_{D(t)}|x|^2\rho dx\right)^{-1}\\
&\geq \mathcal{F}(t)^2(\sigma t+R)^{-2}\left(\int_{D(t)}\rho dx\right)^{-1}\\
&= \mathcal{F}(t)^2(\sigma t+R)^{-2}\left(\int_{D(t)}\rho_0(x) dx\right)^{-1}\\
&\geq \left(\frac{4\pi}{3}(\sigma t+R)^5\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}\right)^{-1}\mathcal{F}(t)^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

where

$$\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_0(x).$$

Since $\mathcal{F}(0)>0$, then (2.24) implies that $\mathcal{F}(t)>0$ for $0\leq t<T$, and that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(0)^{-1} &\geq \mathcal{F}(0)^{-1}-\mathcal{F}(T)^{-1}\\
&\geq \frac{3}{16\pi\sigma\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}}\left(\frac{1}{R^4}-\frac{1}{(\sigma T+R)^4}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that T cannot become arbitrarily large without contradicting the assumption (2.18). Therefore the proof is complete. □

Remark 2.2. Taking $\rho_0(x)=\bar{\rho}_0$, we see that (2.17)-(2.19) can be satisfied if $\mathbf{u}_0$ is supersonic relative to the sound speed σ, the diagonal entries $[I-\mathtt{F}_0]_{ii}\in(0,1)$, $i=1,2,3$, and $\bar{\rho}_0$ is not very large.

3. The Viscous Case

This section is devoted to the study of formation of singularity and breakdown, especially of the blowup criteria for the smooth solutions of compressible viscoelastic fluids (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with sufficiently smooth initial data:

$$(\rho,\mathbf{u},\mathtt{F})|_{t=0}=(\rho_0(x),\mathbf{u}_0(x),\mathtt{F}_0(x)),\qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^3.\tag{3.1}$$

The goal is to obtain a blowup criteria in term of the L^∞ norm of the velocity. In other words, the L^∞ norm of the velocity controls the blowup for the compressible viscoelastic fluids. For this purpose, we need to assume that

$$7\mu>\lambda.\tag{3.2}$$

Obviously, this condition will be fulfilled physically if viscosities μ and λ satisfy the condition (1.2) and $\lambda\leq 0$ simultaneously.

Denote D^k and D^k_0 as:

$$D^k(\mathbb{R}^3):=\{f\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3):\ \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}<\infty\};$$

and

$$D^k_0(\mathbb{R}^3):=\{f\in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3):\ \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}<\infty\}.$$

Now, our blowup result for the system (1.1) takes the following form:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the initial data satisfy*

$$0 \leq \rho_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \mathbf{u}_0 \in D_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap D^3(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \mathtt{F}_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \operatorname{div}(\rho_0 \mathtt{F}_0^\top) = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$-\mu\Delta\mathbf{u}_0 - (\lambda+\mu)\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}_0 + A\nabla\rho_0^\gamma = \rho_0 g \tag{3.4}$$

for some $g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with* $\sqrt{\rho_0}g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$*. Let* $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathtt{F})$ *be a classical solutions to the system* (1.1) *satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} (\rho, \mathtt{F}) \in C([0,T^*], H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)), \\ \mathbf{u} \in C([0,T^*], D_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap D^3(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0,T^*; D^4(\mathbb{R}^3)), \\ \mathbf{u}_t \in L^\infty(0,T^*; D_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0,T^*; D^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \\ \sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t \in L^\infty(0,T^*; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

and T^* *be the maximal existence time. If* $T^* < \infty$ *and* (3.2) *holds, then*

$$\lim_{T\to T^*} \int_0^T \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt = \infty. \tag{3.6}$$

The local existence up to a possible finite time T^* can be constructed in the functional framework (3.5) with the compatibility condition (3.4) in spirit of the corresponding results for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations (see for example [4]), thus we omit the details in this paper and focus on the breakdown of smooth solutions. To prove Theorem 3.1, the main difficulty lies in the estimates of the gradients of the density and the deformation gradient provided that the quantity in (3.6) is finite. In fact, the key estimates in our analysis is $L_t^\infty H_x^1$ bounds of $\nabla\rho$ and $\nabla\mathtt{F}$.

3.1. **Regularity of solutions.**

In this subsection, we will derive a number of regularities of solutions $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathtt{F})$ to the system (1.1) provided

$$\lim_{T\to T^*} \int_0^T \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt < \infty. \tag{3.7}$$

The standard energy estimate gives

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \left(\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho\|_{L^\gamma} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \int_0^T \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C, \quad 0 \leq T \leq T^*.$$

Moreover, from the two transport equations for the density and the deformation gradient, the assumption (3.7) yields the L^∞ bounds for the density and the deformation gradient respectively.

The first step for the regularity of solutions is to improve the integrability of the velocity. In fact, we have

Lemma 3.1. *Under the assumption* (3.2)*, there exists a small* $\delta > 0$ *such that*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\mathbf{u}|^{3+\delta} dx \leq C, \quad 0 < T < T^*,$$

where C *is a positive constant depending only on* $\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}$ *and* $\|\mathtt{F}\|_{L^\infty}$*.*

Proof. The argument is similar to that of [13]. For $q > 3$, we multiply the second equation in (1.1) by $q|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2}\mathbf{u}$ and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^3$ to obtain, using the conservation of mass,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\mathbf{u}|^q dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(q|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2}\big(\mu|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2 + (\lambda+\mu)|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}|^2 + \mu(q-2)|\nabla|\mathbf{u}||^2\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad + q(\lambda+\mu)(\nabla|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2})\cdot\mathbf{u}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}\Big)dx\\
&= Aq\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}(|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2}\mathbf{u})\rho^\gamma dx - q\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top : \nabla(|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2}\mathbf{u})dx\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sqrt{\rho}|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2}|\nabla\mathbf{u}|dx \le \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2}|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2dx + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2}dx\\
&\le \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2}|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2dx + C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\mathbf{u}|^q dx\right)^{\frac{q-2}{q}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$. As in [13], if $7\mu > \lambda$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&q|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2}\Big(\mu|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2 + (\lambda+\mu)|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}|^2 + \mu(q-2)|\nabla|\mathbf{u}||^2\Big)\\
&\qquad + q(\lambda+\mu)(\nabla|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2})\cdot\mathbf{u}\,\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}\\
&\ge C|\mathbf{u}|^{q-2}|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Substituting (3.9) into (3.8), and taking ε small enough, we get by Gronwall's inequality,

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\mathbf{u}|^{3+\delta}dx \le C, \quad 0 < T < T^*,$$

for some small $\delta > 0$. □

The second step for the regularity considers the integrability of the material derivative of the velocity, which is useful for the bounds on $\nabla\rho$. To this end, we have,

Lemma 3.2. *Let*

$$G = \rho\mathbf{u}_t + \rho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}.$$

Then,

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}G^2dxdt + \sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2dx \le C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla\rho|^2 + |\nabla\mathtt{F}|^2)dxdt + C$$

for all $0 \le T \le T^*$.

Proof. From the L^∞ bound of ρ, we have

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}G^2dxdt \le C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_t^2dxdt + 2\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\rho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2dxdt. \tag{3.10}$$

We proceed to estimate the right-hand side of (3.10) term by term as follows. For the last term of (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^2|\mathbf{u}|^2|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2dxdt\\
&\le C\int_0^T\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2dt\\
&\le C\int_0^T\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{3+\alpha}}^{\alpha}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}^{2-\alpha}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2dt\\
&\le C\int_0^T\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{3-\alpha}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}dt\\
&\le C\sup_{0\le T\le T^*}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{3-\alpha}\int_0^T\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}dt\\
&\le C\sup_{0\le T\le T^*}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{3-\alpha},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\frac{\alpha}{3+\delta}+\frac{2-\alpha}{6}=\frac{1}{2},\quad 1<\alpha=\frac{3+\delta}{3-\delta}<2.$$

For the first term, we multiply the second equation of (1.1) by u_t and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^3$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_t^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_tdx+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\frac{\mu}{2}|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}|^2\Big)dx\\
&=A\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_tdx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top:\nabla\mathbf{u}_tdx.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

From the first equation and the third equation in (1.1), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_tdx\\
&=\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}dx-\gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t\rho^{\gamma-1}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}dx\\
&=\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}dx+\gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}(\rho\mathbf{u})\rho^{\gamma-1}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}dx\\
&=\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}dx+(\gamma-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}|^2dx\\
&\le\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}dx+C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\\
&\le\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}dx+C+\varepsilon\|G\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3}^2+C\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\\
&\quad+C\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2+C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2
\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u}_t dx \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t(\rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top) : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx \\
&\le \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathtt{F}_{ik}\mathtt{F}_{jk} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_j \mathbf{u}^i dx \\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |\mathtt{F}|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx \\
&\le \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\le \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx + C + \varepsilon\|G\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3} + C\|\nabla \rho\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\quad + C\|\nabla \mathtt{F}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where we used

$$\partial_t(\rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top) + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla(\rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top) = \nabla \mathbf{u}(\rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top) + \rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top(\nabla \mathbf{u})^\top - \rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top \mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla \mathbf{u}\cdot \mathbf{u}_t dxdt\right| \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{u}_t^2 dxdt + \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dxdt \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{u}_t^2 dxdt + C\sup_{0\le T<T^*}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^{3-\alpha}_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.13) and (3.14) to (3.12), using Gronwall's inequality and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have,

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T^*}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C;$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathbf{u}_t^2 dxdt \\
&\le C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla \rho|^2 + |\nabla \mathtt{F}|^2)dxdt + \varepsilon\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G^2 dxdt \\
&\quad + C\sup_{0\le T<T^*}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^{3-\alpha}_{L^2} + C.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Here we used the following estimates: for all $0 \le t \le T$

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u} dx\right| \le C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \le C + \frac{\mu}{8}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^2}$$

and

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho^\gamma \mathrm{div}\mathbf{u} dx\right| \le C\|\rho^\gamma\|_{L^1}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \le C + \frac{\mu}{8}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Choosing a sufficiently small ε, from (3.10) and (3.15), one has

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G^2dxdt+\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2dx\le C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla\rho|^2+|\nabla\mathtt{F}|^2)dxdt+C.$$

The proof is complete. □

With the aid of the estimate of the material derivative of the velocity, we can obtain the $L^\infty(0,T;L^2)$ estimates of $\nabla\rho$ and $\nabla\mathtt{F}$ and we actually have

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumption* (3.2)*, the following estimates hold for* $0\le T\le T^*$*:*

$$\sup_{0\le T\le T^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\rho|^2dx\le C, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\sup_{0\le T\le T^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathtt{F}|^2dx\le C, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_t^2dxdt+\sup_{0\le T\le T^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2dx+\int_0^T\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}dt\le C, \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. The arguments of (3.16), (3.18) are similar to Proposition 2.4 in [13] provided (3.17) holds, and thus we omit them. For (3.17), we can proceed as follows.

Differentiating the third equation in (1.1) with respect to x_i (denoting ∂_{x_i} by ∂_i) and multiplying the resulting identity by $2\partial_i\mathtt{F}$ yield

$$\partial_t|\partial_i\mathtt{F}|^2+\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla|\partial_i\mathtt{F}|^2=2\nabla\partial_i\mathbf{u}\mathtt{F}:\partial_i\mathtt{F}+2\nabla\mathbf{u}\partial_i\mathtt{F}:\partial_i\mathtt{F}.$$

Integrating the above identity over $\mathbb{R}^3$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial_i\mathtt{F}|^2dx&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial_i\mathtt{F}|^2\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}dx+2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\nabla\partial_i\mathbf{u}\mathtt{F}:\partial_i\mathtt{F}+\nabla\mathbf{u}\partial_i\mathtt{F}:\partial_i\mathtt{F}\right)dx\\&\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_i\mathtt{F}\|^2_{L^2}+C\|\partial_i\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}\\&\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_i\mathtt{F}\|^2_{L^2}+C\|\partial_i\mathtt{F}\|^2_{L^2}+C\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^2}\\&\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_i\mathtt{F}\|^2_{L^2}+C\|\partial_i\mathtt{F}\|^2_{L^2}+C\|\partial_i\rho\|^2_{L^2}+C\|G\|^2_{L^2}\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

since

$$-\mu\Delta\mathbf{u}-(\lambda+\mu)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}=-G-A\nabla\rho^\gamma-\mathrm{div}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top).$$

From (3.16), (3.19) and Gronwall's inequality, we obtain (3.17). The proof is complete. □

The next step is to obtain the uniform in time estimate for $\mathbf{u}_t$ as the following:

Lemma 3.4. *Under the assumption* (3.2)*, the following estimates hold for all* $0\le T\le T^*$*,*

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|^2_{L^2}+\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dxdt\le C, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\sup_{0\le T\le T^*}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^2}\le C. \tag{3.21}$$

Proof. The argument of (3.21) is similar to Proposition 2.5 in [13], and thus we omit it. To prove (3.20), we differentiating the second equation in (1.1) with respect to t to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho\mathbf{u}_{tt}+\rho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}_t-\mu\Delta\mathbf{u}_t-(\lambda+\mu)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_t+A\nabla(\rho^\gamma)_t\\&=-\rho_t(\mathbf{u}_t+\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u})-\rho\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}+\mathrm{div}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)_t.\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

Taking the inner product of the above equation with $\mathbf{u}_t$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and integrating by parts, one obtains,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_t^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\mu|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2+(\lambda+\mu)|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_t|^2)dx\\&\quad-A\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho^\gamma)_t\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_tdx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)_t:\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\\&=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\rho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}_t+\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{u}_t\right]+\rho\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_t\right)dx.\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

From the first and the third equations in (1.1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho^\gamma)_t\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_tdx\\&=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}(\rho^\gamma\mathbf{u})\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_tdx-(\gamma-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_tdx\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}+\nabla\rho^\gamma\cdot\mathbf{u})\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_tdx-(\gamma-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_tdx\\&\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}+C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\\&\le\varepsilon\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^2}^2\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

since

$$(\rho^\gamma)_t+\mathrm{div}(\rho^\gamma\mathbf{u})+(\gamma-1)\rho^\gamma\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}=0;$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)_t:\nabla\mathbf{u}_tdx\\&=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top):\nabla\mathbf{u}_tdx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla\mathbf{u}\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top:\nabla\mathbf{u}_tdx\\&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top(\nabla\mathbf{u})^\top:\nabla\mathbf{u}_tdx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}:\nabla\mathbf{u}_tdx\\&\le C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}(\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2})\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}+C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\\&\le\varepsilon\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^2}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.25}$$

For the right-hand side of (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\rho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}_t+\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{u}_t\right]+\rho\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_t\right)dx\right|\\
&\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\rho|\mathbf{u}||\mathbf{u}_t||\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|+\rho|\mathbf{u}||\mathbf{u}_t||\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2+\rho|\mathbf{u}|^2|\mathbf{u}_t||\nabla^2\mathbf{u}|\\
&\qquad\qquad+\rho|\mathbf{u}|^2|\nabla\mathbf{u}||\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|+\rho|\mathbf{u}_t|^2|\nabla\mathbf{u}|\Big)dx\\
&\le C\Big(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^3}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}+\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^2\\
&\quad+\|\mathbf{u}^2\|_{L^3}\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}\|\mathbf{u}^2\|_{L^3}\\
&\quad+\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\Big)\\
&\le C\Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}+\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}+\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\Big)\\
&\le\varepsilon\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2+C(1+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty})\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^2}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

Substituting (3.24), (3.25) and (3.26) into (3.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{2}\rho\mathbf{u}_t^2dx+\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx\\
&\le\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx+C((1+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty})\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^2}^2).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

Thanks to the compatibility condition, it holds

$$\sqrt{\rho_0}\mathbf{u}_t(0,x)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

and thus, for sufficiently small ε, (3.27) gives us (3.20). The proof is complete.

□

Finally, we now can obtain bounds of the first order derivatives of the density and the second derivatives of the velocity.

Lemma 3.5. *Under the assumption* (3.2)*, the following estimates hold for* $0\le T\le T^*$*,*

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\rho_t(t)\|_{L^6}+\|\rho\|_{W^{1,6}})\le C,\tag{3.28}$$

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\mathtt{F}_t(t)\|_{L^6}+\|\mathtt{F}\|_{W^{1,6}})\le C,\tag{3.29}$$

$$\int_0^T\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{W^{2,6}}^2dt\le C.\tag{3.30}$$

Proof. The arguments of (3.28) and (3.30) are similar to those in [13] provided (3.29) is verified, and hence are omitted here. To establish (3.29), we first deduce from the previous estimates that,

$$\mathbf{u}_t\in L^2(0,T;L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)),\quad G\in L^2(0,T;L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Differentiating the third equation in (1.1) with respect to x_i, and multiplying the resulting identity by $6|\partial_i \mathtt{F}|^4\partial_i\mathtt{F}$, one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial_i\mathtt{F}|^6dx\\
&=-6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial_i\mathtt{F}|^6\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}dx-6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial_i\mathtt{F}|^4\partial_i\mathtt{F}\partial_i\nabla\mathbf{u}\mathtt{F}dx-6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\partial_i\mathtt{F}|^4\nabla\mathbf{u}\partial_i\mathtt{F}\partial_i\mathtt{F}dx \qquad (3.31)\\
&\le C\Big(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^6}^6+\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^6}^5(\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^6}+\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^6}+\|G\|_{L^6})\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

The above inequality and Gronwall's inequality imply

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^6}\le C.$$

This estimate, together with the third equation in (1.1) give the bound of $\mathtt{F}_t$ in (3.29). The proof is complete. □

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** To begin with, we have the following higher order estimates for the density and the deformation gradient:

Lemma 3.6. *For all* $0\le T\le T^*$*, the following estimates hold:*

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(H^2)}+\|\rho_t\|_{L^\infty(H^1)}+\|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2}\le C,$$

$$\|\rho^\gamma\|_{L^\infty(H^2)}+\|(\rho^\gamma)_t\|_{L^\infty(H^1)}+\|(\rho^\gamma)_{tt}\|_{L^2}\le C,$$

and

$$\|\mathtt{F}\|_{L^\infty(H^2)}+\|\mathtt{F}_t\|_{L^\infty(H^1)}+\|\mathtt{F}_{tt}\|_{L^2}\le C.$$

Proof. The argument for ρ and ρ^γ is similar to those in [13], and thus we will focus on the estimates on $\mathtt{F}$. For this purpose, we first observe that from the second equation in (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^3}&\le C\Big(\|G\|_{H^1}+\|\nabla\rho^\gamma\|_{H^1}+\|\mathrm{div}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)\|_{H^1}\Big)\\
&\le C\Big(\|G\|_{H^1}+\|\nabla^2\rho^\gamma\|_{L^2}+C+\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^4}^2+\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^4}^2+\|\nabla^2\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2}\Big)\\
&\le C\Big(\|G\|_{H^1}+\|\nabla^2\rho^\gamma\|_{L^2}+C+\|\nabla^2\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^4}^2\le\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^6}^{\frac32}\le C$$

and

$$\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^4}^2\le\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^6}^{\frac32}\le C.$$

Applying ∇^2 to the third equation of (1.1) to yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\nabla_{ij}\mathtt{F})_t+\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla(\nabla_{ij}\mathtt{F})+\nabla_i\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\nabla_j\mathtt{F}+\nabla_{ij}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathtt{F}\\
&\quad=(\nabla_{ij}\nabla\mathbf{u})\mathtt{F}+\nabla\mathbf{u}\nabla_{ij}\mathtt{F}+\nabla_i\nabla\mathbf{u}\nabla_j\mathtt{F}+\nabla_j\nabla\mathbf{u}\nabla_i\mathtt{F}.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above identity by $2\nabla_{ij}\mathtt{F}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|^2dx\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(|\nabla^3\mathbf{u}||\mathtt{F}||\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|+|\nabla\mathbf{u}||\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}||\nabla\mathtt{F}||\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|\Big)dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla|\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|^2dx\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(|\nabla^3\mathbf{u}||\mathtt{F}||\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|+|\nabla\mathbf{u}||\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}||\nabla\mathtt{F}||\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|\Big)dx\\
&\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}|\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|^2dx\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(|\nabla^3\mathbf{u}||\mathtt{F}||\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|+|\nabla\mathbf{u}||\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|^2+|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}||\nabla\mathtt{F}||\nabla^2\mathtt{F}|\Big)dx\\
&\le C\Big(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2}^2+\|G\|_{H^1}^2+\|\nabla^2\rho^\gamma\|_{L^2}^2+C+\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}^2\Big)\\
&\le C(\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}+1)\|\nabla^2\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2}^2+C(\|G\|_{H^1}^2+\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}^2+1),
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^3}\le\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla\mathtt{F}\|_{L^6}^{\frac12}\le C.$$

Because $\mathbf{u}\in L^2_tW^{2,6}_x$, by Gronwall's inequality, the above inequality yields the bound

$$\|\mathtt{F}\|_{L^\infty_tH^2_x}\le C.$$

The estimates of $\mathtt{F}_t$ and $\mathtt{F}_{tt}$ follow from the similar energy methods and the third equation of (1.1). The proof is complete. □

When we state the H^3 regularity of the solution $(\rho,\mathbf{u},\mathtt{F})$, the following estimate is useful.

Lemma 3.7. *For $0\le T\le T^*$, we have*

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_{tt}^2dxdt+\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx\le C.$$

Proof. Differentiating the second equation in (1.1) with respect to t, multiplying the resulting equation by $\mathbf{u}_{tt}$, and then integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_{tt}^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\mathbf{u}_{tt}dx+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_t|^2\right)dx\\
&=A\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho^\gamma)_t\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_{tt}dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)_t:\nabla\mathbf{u}_{tt}dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t(\mathbf{u}_t+\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}_{tt}dx\\
&\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_{tt}dx\\
&=A\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho^\gamma)_t\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_tdx-A\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho^\gamma)_{tt}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_tdx-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)_t:\nabla\mathbf{u}_tdx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)_{tt}:\nabla\mathbf{u}_tdx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_{tt}dx-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{2}\rho_t|\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_{tt}|\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}_tdx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_{tt}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_tdx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_tdx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\mathbf{u}_tdx.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

Observe that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\mathbf{u}_{tt}dx\right|\le\varepsilon\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_{tt}dx\right|&\le\varepsilon\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}^2\\
&\le\varepsilon\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}\\
&\le\varepsilon\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_{tt}|\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx\right|&=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}(\rho_t\mathbf{u}+\rho\mathbf{u}_t)|\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx\right|\\
&=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho_t\mathbf{u}+\rho\mathbf{u}_t)\cdot\nabla|\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx\right|\\
&\le C\|\rho_t\|_{L^6}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\mathbf{u}_t|^2|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|dx\\
&\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^3}\\
&\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{H^2}\\
&\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}+1)\\
&\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2+\varepsilon\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2+C
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\mu\Delta\mathbf{u}_t+(\lambda+\mu)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_t=G_t+A\nabla(\rho^\gamma)_t-\mathrm{div}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)_t$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{H^2}&\le C(\|G_t\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla(\rho^\gamma)_t\|_{L^2}+\|\mathrm{div}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)_t\|_{L^2})\\
&\le C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}+1);
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_{tt}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_t dx\right| &\le \|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}\\ &\le C\|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2;\end{aligned}$$

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_t dx\right| \le \|\rho_t\|_{L^2}\|\mathbf{u}_t^2\|_{L^3}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2;$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\cdot\mathbf{u}_t dx\right| &\le \|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}\\ &\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}\le C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above estimates back to (3.32) and then integrating over $[0,t]$ with $0\le t\le T$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_{tt}^2dxds+\frac{\mu}{4}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx\\ &\le C\varepsilon\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_{tt}^2dxds+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dxds+C.\end{aligned}\tag{3.33}$$

Here we used the following estimates: for all $0\le t\le T$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho^\gamma)_t\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_t dx\right| &\le \|(\rho^\gamma)_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C+\frac{\mu}{8}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)_t:\nabla\mathbf{u}_t dx\right| &\le (\|\rho_t\|_{L^2}+\|\mathtt{F}_t\|_{L^2})\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C+\frac{\mu}{8}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t|\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx\right| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}(\rho\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}_t^2dx\right| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}\nabla\mathbf{u}_t^2dx\right|\\ &\le \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\le C+\frac{\mu}{8}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx;\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}_t dx\right| &\le \|\rho_t\|_{L^6}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C+\frac{\mu}{8}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx.\end{aligned}$$

Choosing a sufficient small ε, then (3.23), combining with Gronwall's inequality, gives

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\mathbf{u}_{tt}^2dxdt+\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2dx\le C.$$

The proof is complete. □

With aid of the above estimate, we can obtain the H^3 regularity as follows.

Lemma 3.8.
$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty_t H^3_x} + \|\mathtt{F}\|_{L^\infty_t H^3_x} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty_t H^3_x} \le C.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7 that
$$G \in L^\infty_t H^1_x, \quad \nabla\rho^\gamma \in L^\infty_t H^1_x, \quad \mathrm{div}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top) \in L^\infty_t H^1_x,$$
which gives
$$\mu\Delta\mathbf{u} + (\lambda+\mu)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u} = G + A\nabla\rho^\gamma - \mathrm{div}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top) \in L^\infty_t H^1_x.$$
From the standard estimate for elliptic equations, we have
$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty_t H^3_x} \le C.$$

From the second equation in (1.1), Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7, we have
$$\mu\Delta\mathbf{u}_t + (\lambda+\mu)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_t = G_t + A\nabla(\rho^\gamma)_t - \mathrm{div}(\rho\mathtt{F}\mathtt{F}^\top)_t \in L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^3).$$
Therefore, again from the standard estimate for elliptic equations, we have
$$\mathbf{u}_t \in L^2_t H^2_x, \quad G \in L^2_t H^2_x.$$

With those bounds, we can apply a similar argument in Lemma 3.6 to deduce from the first and the third equation in (1.1) that
$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty_t H^3_x} + \|\mathtt{F}\|_{L^\infty_t H^3_x} \le C.$$
The proof is complete. □

Now we are able to state the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. From the previous lemmas, the functions $(\rho, \mathtt{F}, \mathbf{u})|_{t=T^*}$ satisfy the same conditions as the initial data. Moreover
$$G = \rho\mathbf{u}_t + \rho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty_t H^1_x$$
and
$$(-\mu\Delta\mathbf{u} - (\lambda+\mu)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u} + A\nabla\rho^\gamma)|_{t=T^*} = \rho(T^*)g$$
with
$$g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{\rho(T^*)}g \in L^2.$$
Therefore, we can take $(\rho, \mathtt{F}, \mathbf{u})(T^*)$ as the initial data and apply the local existence theorem to extend our local classical solution beyond T^*, and this contradicts the assumption on T^*. The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgments

X. Hu's research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation. D. Wang's research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation and the Office of Naval Research.

References

[1] Beale, J. T.; Kato, T.; Majda, A., *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equation*. Comm. Math. Phys., 94 (1984), 61-66.

[2] Chemin, J.; Masmoudi, N., *About lifespan of regular solutions of equations related to viscoelastic fluids.* SIAM J. Math. Anal. 33 (2001), 84–112.

[3] Chen, Y.; Zhang, P., *The global existence of small solutions to the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system in 2 and 3 space dimensions.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations 31 (2006), 1793–1810.

[4] Cho, Y.; Kim, H., *On classical solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with nonnegative initial densities*. Manuscripta Math. 120 (2006), 91-129.

[5] Dafermos, C. M., *Hyperbolic conservation laws in continuum physics.* Third edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 325. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[6] Danchin, R., *Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations.* Invent. Math. 141 (2000), 579–614.

[7] Feireisl, E., *Dynamics of viscous compressible fluids.* Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 26. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.

[8] Gurtin, M. E., *An introduction to Continuum Mechanics.* Mathematics in Science and Engineering,158. Academic Press, New York-London, 1981.

[9] Hu, X., Wang, D., *Local strong solution to the compressible viscoelastic flow with large data.* J. Differential Equations 249 (2010), no. 5, 1179-1198.

[10] Hu, X.; Wang, D., *Global existence for the multi-dimensional compressible viscoelastic flow.* J. Differential Equations 250 (2011), no. 2, 1200-1231.

[11] Hu, X.; Wang, D., *Strong solutions to the three-dimensional compressible viscoelastic fluids*. Submitted.

[12] Hu, X.; Wang, D., *The initial-boundary value problem for the compressible viscoelastic fluids*. Submitted.

[13] Huang, X.; Xin, Z., *A blowup criterion for classical solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations*. Science China-Mathematics 53 (2010), 671-686.

[14] Kunisch, K.; Marduel, M., *Optimal control of non-isothermal viscoelastic fluid flow*, J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics 88 (2000), 261-301.

[15] Joseph, D., *Fluid dynamics of viscoelastic liquids.* Applied Mathematical Sciences, 84. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

[16] Kato, T., *The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic systems.* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 58 (1975), 181-205.

[17] Kessenich, P., *Global Existence with Small Initial Data for Three-Dimensional Incompressible Isotropic Viscoelastic Materials.* arXiv:0903.2824v1.

[18] Lei, Z.; Liu, C.; Zhou, Y., *Global existence for a 2D incompressible viscoelastic model with small strain.* Commun. Math. Sci. 5 (2007), 595–616.

[19] Lin, F; Liu, C.; Zhang, P., *On hydrodynamics of viscoelastic fluids.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 1437–1471.

[20] Lin, F; Zhang, P., *On the initial-boundary value problem of the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 61 (2008), 539–558.

[21] Lions, P. L. *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 2. Compressible models.* Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 10. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.

[22] Lions, P. L.; Masmoudi, N., *Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows.* Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 21 (2000), 131–146.

[23] Liu, C.; Walkington, N. J., *An Eulerian description of fluids containing visco-elastic particles.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 159 (2001), 229–252.

[24] Majda, A., *Compressible fluid flow and systems of conservation laws in several space variables.* Applied Mathematical Sciences, 53. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

[25] Qian, J., Zhang, Z., *Global well-posedness for the compressible viscoelastic fluids near equilibrium.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 198 (2010), no. 3, 835-868.

[26] Oldroyd, J. G., *On the formation of rheological equations of state*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Series A 200 (1950), 523-541.

[27] Oldroyd, J. G., *Non-Newtonian effects in steady motion of some idealized elastico-viscous liquids*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Series A 245 (1958), 278-297.

[28] Ponce, G., *Remarks on a paper: Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations.* Comm. Math. Phys. 98 (1985), 349-353.

[29] Renardy, M.; Hrusa, W. J.; Nohel, J. A., *Mathematical Problems in Viscoelasticity.* Longman Scientific and Technical; copublished in the US with John Wiley, New York, 1987.

[30] Sideris, T., *Formation of singularities in solutions to nonlinear hyperbolic equations.* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 86 (1984), 369-381.

[31] Sideris, T., *Formation of singularities in three-dimensional compressible fluids.* Comm. Math. Phys. 101 (1985), 475-485.

[32] Sideris, T. C.; Thomases, B., *Global existence for three-dimensional incompressible isotropic elastodynamics via the incompressible limit.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 750–788.

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, NY 10012.
E-mail address: `xianpeng@cims.nyu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA.
E-mail address: `dwang@math.pitt.edu`